

Reviewer's Comments:

Damaru Ballabha Paudel. (2024). Review of: "A Study on Matrimonial Sites in India". Qeios. doi:10.32388/46XBUA.

1. The paper is generally well-written and understandable, which is commendable.
2. It is advisable to include five keywords in your paper to enhance its searchability and accessibility.
3. Please ensure to provide the full form of PSPP under the "Analysis of Data" section on page 3 for clarity and completeness.
4. It is recommended to incorporate macro data on the Education Level of India and mention the current Sex Ratio of India to provide context for your study.
5. Under the Methodology section, please specify the number of matrimonial sites surveyed in India to give a clearer understanding of the scope of your research.
6. The sample size (103) taken from only one matrimonial site (Reddit) may be considered small and could potentially lead to misleading generalizations of the results. Consider discussing the limitations of the sample size and its implications on the generalizability of findings.
7. To enhance the validity of your results, consider comparing them with findings from previously published papers in the literature, particularly in the context of other countries.
8. Based on your results, it would be beneficial to elaborate on why hypergamy has emerged as the most influential factor in determining partner preferences. Providing theoretical or empirical explanations can strengthen the discussion section.
9. Please clarify under the "Funding" section whether the authors received funding for the research or not, for transparency and accountability. It seems confusing.
10. Several references listed in the References section are not cited in the text. Ensure to either cite these papers in the text or remove them from the References section. The following references require attention:
 - a. King, R., & Sondhi, G. (2018)
 - b. Newman, C. E., Prankumar, S. K., Cover, R., Rasmussen, M. L., Marshall, D., & Aggleton, P. (2021)
 - c. Shahbaz, M., Shahzad, S. J. H., Alam, S., & Apergis, N. (2018)
11. Addressing these points will contribute to the overall quality and credibility of your research paper.